JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the rivil deplet sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON MEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
KATHY M. BRYSON				DAIICHI SANKYO, INC.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff TARRANT (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant DENTON (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Kn	nown)				
Joel F. Handler, One East Wacker Dr., Suite 510, Chicago, IL 606									
(312) 832-0008 II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP O	OF PR	INCIPA	AL PARTIES	Place an "X" in C	ne Box for Plaintif
☐ I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) Output Ou					Defendant) PTF DEF
🗇 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citíze	n of Another State	a 2	□ 2		Principal Place	OJ 5 OJ 5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	□ 3	1 3	Foreign Nation		O 6 D 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		only)		DER EPHONONE NO.	erv -1		KRUPTCY	- Walliam	STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgmenti □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condentnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice CIVIL Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Truth in Lending 380 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETTFIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Od 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	al	STETTURE/PENAE 5 Drug Related Seizun of Property 21 USC 0 Other 1 Cabor Standards Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 1 Family Ret. Inc. Security Act 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 1 Rev. Security Act 1 Security Act 2 Security Act 3 Security Act 3 Security Act 3 Security Act 3 Security Act 4 Security Act 5 Security Act 5 Security Act 6 Security Act 7 Security Act	s	3 422 Appe 3 423 With 28 U PROPEI 3 820 Copy 3 830 Pater 3 840 Trade 3 862 Blace 3 863 DIW 3 864 SSID 3 875 RSI (FEDER: 3 870 Taxe or Day	eal 28 USC 158 drawal ISC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights at emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	375 False Cla 400 State Res 410 Antitrust 430 Banks an 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketee Corrupt Commer 480 Consumes 490 Cable/Sa 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other Sts 891 Agricults 893 Environs 485 Freedom Act 896 Arbitratie	aims Act apportionment d Banking co in Influenced and Organizations or Credit t TV s/Commodities/ ge atutory Actions aral Acts nental Matters of Information organization org
🗗 Original 🗂 2 Rer	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop	ened 5 a	another ((specify)		☐ 6 Multidist Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca							ts Act of 1964	as amended
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT:				EMAND \$	under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND:				
VIII. RELATED CASE PENDING OR CLOS		JUDGE				DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE 7/2/2013		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDO	GE		MAG. JU	IDGE	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

KATHY M. BRYSON,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V) No.	
)	
DAIICHI SANKYO, INC.,) Judge	
) Magistrate	
Defendant.)	

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT VIOLATION OF BRYSON'S CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED BY THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1991

The Plaintiff, KATHY M. BRYSON (hereinafter referred to as "BRYSON"), and for her amended complaint against the Defendant, DAIICHI SANKYO, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "DAIICHI"), states:

- 1. This action is brought for damages sustained by BRYSON by reason of DAIICHI's violation of her civil rights under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991.
- 2. BRYSON is a citizen of the United States and is a resident of the Northern District of Texas.
- 3. DAIICHI is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey and does substantial business in the Northern District of Texas.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, DAIICHI had an office at 9 Village Circle #500, Westlake, Texas 76262.

- 5. At all times relevant hereto, DAIICHI was an "employer" within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 2000 (e-5)(f)(1) and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1343.
 - 7. Venue is appropriate in this Court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000 (e-5)(f)(3).
- 8. On March 30, 2008, BRYSON began her employment as a District Manager for DAIICHI.
- 9. During BRYSON's employment, it was the policy of DAIICHI not to discriminate against an employee on the basis of her sex.
- 10. Notwithstanding DAIICHI's policy, commencing on or about April, 2011, DAIICHI engaged in a pattern and practice of sex discrimination against BRYSON and other female employees. This pattern and practice of sex discrimination was orchestrated and implemented primarily at the direction of Lerryn Tryzinski, Regional Manager.
- 11. For example, Tryzinski denied in June, 2011. BRYSON's request to move a sales rep that she hired and trained and forced BRYSON to hire from outside of DAIICHI a person who was not as qualified as that sales representative.
- 12. Moreover, Tryzinski reprimanded BRYSON for expensing alcohol for a regional meeting when Tryzinksi approved male district managers' requests to expense alcohol at regional meetings.

- 13. Similarly, Tryzinski refused BRYSON's request on or about December, 2011 to expense developmental books for her team even though she granted the requests of male district managers for the same books.
- 14. Even though BRYSON was the recipient of the Gold Cup on two occasions and received platinum awards from this company, Tryzinksi, nevertheless, required BRYSON to submit a developmental plan to improve her performance despite that she never asked a male district manager to submit such a plan.
- 15. Further, on February 6, 2012, Tryzisnki and William Pruitt, Defendant's Human Resources Manager, terminated BRYSON purportedly for sending out an email to her team that referenced what a doctor had said about how to get Benicar through to managed care.
- 16. DAIICHI's concocted reason for terminating BRYSON was merely a pretext for its unlawful sex discrimination directed to her.
- 17. DAIICHI has, therefore, discriminated against BRYSON because of her sex in violation of her rights under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000 (e-2)(a)(1), as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991.
- 18. On or about December 26, 2012, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 2000 (e-5) and regulations issued thereunder, BRYSON filed a complaint of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- 19. Pursuant to her request, on or about May 13, 2013, BRYSON received her notice of right to sue. A true and correct copy of this notice of right to sue is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

- 20. Less than ninety (90) days have expired since BRYSON' receipt of this notice of right to sue.
- 21. DAIICHI's violation of BRYSON's rights under Title VII Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, has caused BRYSON pecuniary damages.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, KATHY M. BRYSON requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant, DAIICHI SANKYO, INC. as follows:

- i. Enjoining DAIICHI from engaging in such unlawful employment practices as alleged in this complaint;
- ii. Requiring DAIICHI to rehire BRYSON to her position of District Manager at a rate of pay comparable to what she would have been receiving if not for the civil rights violations committed against her by DAIICHI;
- iii. Making BRYSON whole as to all salary, benefits and seniority status that would have been accrued but for the civil rights violations committed by DAIICHI;
- iv. Alternatively, in the event, DAIICHI is unwilling to hire BRYSON, that BRYSON be awarded front pay;
- v. Awarding BRYSON compensatory and punitive damages in amounts authorized under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991;
- vi. Awarding BRYSON attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 2000(e-5)(k) and pre-judgment interest; and
 - vii. Awarding BRYSON such other relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully submitted,

Joel F. Handler

One E. Wacker Drive, Suite 510

Chicago, IL 60601

(312) 832-0008

Attorney for the Plaintiff,

KATHY M. BRYSON

Roger D. Sanders

Laura Gallardo

Sanders, O'Hanlon & Motley, PLLC

111 South Travis

Sherman, TX 75090

(903) 892-9133

Local Counsel for Plaintiff,

KATHY M. BRYSON